

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/406,321	09/27/1999	GUIDO M. SCHUSTER	99.365	1481	
20306 7.	590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			BEAMER, TEMICA M		
300 S. WACKI	ER DRIVE				
32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60606		2681		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		, <del></del>	<del></del>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action 6	`	09/406,321	SCHUSTER ET AL.			
Office Action S	oummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Temica M. Beamer	2681			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili  If the period for reply specified above  If NO period for reply is specified above  Failure to reply within the set or exter	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: ng date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply we, the maximum statutory period v unded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	by be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on <u>16</u> Se	eptember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
		nce except for formal matters x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are allo 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are 7)□ Claim(s) is/are	o(s) is/are withdraw owed. e rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is obj	ected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance	• •			
_			is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) ffice Action or form PTO-152.	).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is ma a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents ertified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-	•	4) Interview Sum				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>			ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The declaration filed on 9/16/2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Hawkins and McConnell references.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peltonen, U.S. Patent No. 6,393,274.

Regarding claim 6, Peltonen discloses a method for initiating a data network telephone call using a wireless PID with a display comprising the steps of starting a contacts application to display a plurality of contact entries (col. 4, lines 39-48); selecting one of the contact entries identifying a callee (col. 4, lines 39-48); initiating a data communications channel to a telephony server having a user telephone number (col. 6, lines 32-56); sending a message to call the callee (col. 6, lines 32-56);

Application/Control Number: 09/406,321 Page 3

Art Unit: 2681

connecting a telephone call to the user and connecting the telephone call to the callee (col. 6, lines 32-56).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peltonen.

Regarding claim 7, Peltonen discloses the method of claim 6 as described above and inherently discloses locating a gateway associated to the callee and sending a signal to call the callee by dialing via a callee's central office. Peltonen, however, fails to disclose wherein the step of connecting the telephone call to the callee includes the steps of locating the closest gateway to the callee.

The examiner contends, however, that at the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of oirdinary skill in the art to locate the closest gateway as such could lower the cost of the call.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-5 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to disclose or render obvious the method of updating user profiles as described in independent claims 1, 3 and 5.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703) 308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Temica M. Beamer **Primary Examiner**

emica M-Beamer

Art Unit 2681

**TMB** 

1/24/2005